100年度「教學評量專題研究」教學綱要

余民寧 教授

一、 教學目標

培養學生專精各種教學評量的研究專題。

二、 背景知識

主修教育心理學、諮商輔導、心理計量學的教育碩士。

曾修習過「教育測驗與評量」、「教學評量概論」、「心理與教育測驗」(大學課程,必備)、或「測驗理論研究」、「測驗編製與量表發展研究」(研究所課程,選修)

三、 討論專題

- 1. 紙筆測驗評量
- 2. 實作評量
- 3. (數位化)檔案評量
- 4. 過程(動態)評量
- 5. 圖形 (S-P表、概念圖、心智圖) 評量
- 6. 知識結構評量(認知診斷評量)
- 7. 證照認證評量、標準設定
- 8. (電腦化)適性(測驗)評量
- 9. 學生評鑑教學
- 10. 評量方法學(如:CTT vs. IRT assessment、e-Assessment、fuzzy assessment、neuro-network assessment、artificial intelligence assessment、social network assessment、web-based assessment、evaluation for instruction)

四、 閱讀材料

1.紙筆測驗評量:

余民寧(2011)。**教育測驗與評量:成就測驗與教學評量**(第三版)。台北:心理。

2.實作評量:

Hunter, K., & Docherty, P. (2011). Reducing variation in the assessment of student writing. Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education, 36(1), 109- last page.

Reb, J., & Greguras, G. J. (2010). Understanding performance ratings: Dynamic performance, attributions, and rating purpose. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(1), 213-last page.

3. (數位化)檔案評量:

Brinke, D. J., Sluijsmans, D. M. A., & Jochems, W. M. G. (2010). Assessors' approaches to portfolio assessment in assessment of prior learning procedures. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(1), 59-74.

4. 過程(動態)評量:

Handley, K., & Williams, L. (2011). From copying to learning: Using exemplars to engage students with assessment. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, *36*(1), 95-108.

Nestel, D., Kneebone, R., Nolan, C., Akhtar, K., & Darzi, A. (2011). Formative assessment of procedural skills: Students' responses to the Objective Structural Clinical Examination and the Integrated Performance Procedural Instrument. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 36(2), 171-184.

5.AME 專題: Evidence-centered assessment design in practice. Special issue in AME.

Huff, K., & Plake, B. S. (2010). Evidence-centered assessment design in practice. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 23(4), 307-309.

Huff, K., Steinberg, L., & Matts, T. (2010). The promise and challenges of implementing evidence-centered design in large-scale assessment. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 23(4), 310-324.

Ewing, M., Packman, S., Hamen, C., & Thurber, A. C. (2010). Representing targets of measurement within evidence-centered design. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 23(4), 325-341.

Plake, B. S., Huff, K., & Reshetar, R. (2010). Evidence-centered assessment design as a foundation for achievement-level descriptor development and for standard setting. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 23(4), 342-357.

Hendrickson, A., Huff, K., & Luecht, R. (2010). Claims, evidence, and achievement-level descriptors as a foundation for item design and test specifications. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 23(4), 358-377.

Bejar, I. I. (2010). Application of evidence-centered assessment design to the advanced placement redesign: A graphic restatement. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 23(4), 378-391.

Brennan, R. L. (2010). Evidence-centered assessment design and the advanced placement program[®]: A psychometrician's perspective. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 23(4), 392-last page.

6.知識結構評量(認知診斷評量):

de la Torre, J., & Karelitz, T. M. (2009). Impact of diagnosticity on the adequacy of models for cognitive diagnosis under a linear attribute structure: A simulation study. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 46(4), 450-469.

7.證照認證評量、標準設定:

Clauser, B. E., Mee, J., Baldwin, S. G., Margolis, M. J., & Dillon, G. F. (2009). Judges' use of examinee performance data in an Angoff standard-setting exercise for a medical licensing examination: An experimental study. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 46(4), 390-407.

Pfeiffer, N., Hagemann, D., & Backenstrass, M. (2011). A new method for estimating the variance overlap between the short and the long form of a psychological test. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 71(2), 380-388.

8. (電腦化) 適性(測驗)評量:

Vaughn, B. K., & Wang, Q. (2010). DIF trees: Using classification trees to detect differential item functioning. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 70(6), 941-952.

DeMars, C. E. (2010). Type I error inflation for detecting in the presence of impact. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 70(6), 961-972.

Frederickx, S., Tuerlinckx, F., DeBoeck, P., & Magis, D. (2011). RIM: A random item mixture model to detect differential item functioning. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 47(4), 432-457.

9.學生評鑑教學:

Symbaluk, D. G., & Howell, A. J. (2010). Web-based student feedback: Comparing teaching-award and research-award recipients. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(1), 75-86.

Davies, M., Hirschberg, J., Lye, J., & Johnston, C. (2010). A systematic analysis of quality of teaching surveys. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(1), 87-100.

Venette, S., Sellnow, D., & McIntyre, K. (2010). Charting new territory: Assessing the online frontier of student ratings of instruction. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(1), 101-116.

Patrick, C. L. (2011). Student evaluation of teaching: Effects of the Big Five personality

traits, grades and the validity hypothesis. Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education, 36(2), 239-last page.

Liu, O. L. (2011). Measuring value-added in higher education: Condition and caveats – Results from using the Measure of Academic Proficiency and Progress (MAPPTM). *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, *36*(1), 81- 94.

10. 評量方法學:

Granberg-Rademacker, J. S. (2010). An algorithm for converting ordinal scale measurement data to interval/ratio scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 70(1), 74-90.

五、 上課日期表 (每週四 14:00~17:00PM)

| 週次 | 日期 | 研討內容及進度 | 負責報告人 |
|----|-------|------------|----------|
| 1 | 9/15 | 導論:紙筆測驗評量 | 老師上課 |
| 2 | 9/22 | 實作評量:口試 | |
| 3 | 9/29 | 實作評量:情意及技能 | |
| 4 | 10/6 | 檔案評量 | |
| 5 | 10/13 | 檔案評量 | |
| 6 | 10/20 | 動態評量 | |
| 7 | 10/27 | AME 專題 | |
| 8 | 11/3 | AME 專題 | |
| 9 | 11/10 | AME 專題 | |
| 10 | 11/17 | 認知診斷評量 | |
| 11 | 11/24 | 認知診斷評量 | |
| 12 | 12/1 | 證照評量&標準設定 | |
| 13 | 12/8 | 證照評量&標準設定 | |
| 14 | 12/15 | 電腦化適性評量 | |
| 15 | 12/22 | 電腦化適性評量 | |
| 16 | 12/29 | 學生評鑑教學 | |
| 17 | 1/5 | 學生評鑑教學 | |
| 18 | 1/12 | 期末考試(不上課) | 開始繳交期末報告 |

六、 成績考核

- 1.每人認領兩篇專題文章,帶領同學一起研讀及課堂討論,佔50%學期分數。
- 2.撰寫一篇自訂主題的期末報告,佔50%學期分數。
- 3.期末報告繳交日期,課堂上決定。