

## Mid-Term Exam in Econometrics (II)

Date: March 29, 2006

Time Allowed: 2.5 hours

32 points

1. (4 marks) Consider the  $t$  statistic printed out by regression packages for the coefficient estimate. Explain in detail how you would conduct a simulation study to verify that this statistic actually has  $t$  distribution when the null hypothesis is true.
2. (4 marks) The consistency of  $b$  depends on the probability limits of the two terms  $\frac{1}{T}X'X$  and  $\frac{1}{T}X'\varepsilon$ . Give examples of models where  $\text{plim } \frac{1}{T}X'X$  is zero, finite and infinite. Give an intuitive explanation why  $b$  is (in)consistent in these cases.
3. (24 marks) The true model is  $y_i = \mu + \varepsilon_i$ , where  $\varepsilon_i$  is iid with the density  $f(\varepsilon_i) = 1 - |\varepsilon_i|$  for  $-1 \leq \varepsilon_i \leq 1$ . Now you have observed the data  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T$ .
  - (a) Determine the log-likelihood based on the postulated density function, and show how to obtain the ML estimate for  $\mu$  from the log-likelihood.
  - (b) Determine how to obtain a solution for the ML estimate  $\mu$  using  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T$ . In the case that you can not obtain the closed form, you need to think of the numerical solution. Be precise about your answers.
  - (c) Suppose  $T = 10$  which is small so that the standard asymptotic theory for ML estimate for  $\mu$  is not reliable in this context. Explain how to devise a bootstrap procedure to construct the confidence interval for the ML estimate  $\mu$  at 5% significance level.
  - (d) Explain how you would conduct a simulation study to verify the confidence interval based on the bootstrap procedures in (c) is more reliable than that based on the asymptotic theory.
  - (e) Suppose you do not know the true data generating process about the density function of the disturbance, but estimate  $\mu$  using the normality assumption. Derive the Fisher information matrix in this case.
  - (f) Continuing from the above, how would you expect the QML estimate in terms of efficiency, compared with the ML estimate? Argue with the results obtained from the above.
  - (g) Now suppose the true model is  $y_i = \mu + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$ , where  $x_i \sim \text{nid}(0, 4)$ . However, using the least squares method, you estimated the model  $y_i = \alpha + \varepsilon_i$ , ie. there is an omitted variable. Argue whether or not the OLS estimate for  $\alpha$  is consistent. Explain intuitively.
  - (h) Explain in detail how you conduct the simulation study to verify your argument aforementioned.